

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHARLIE D. JACKSON, F03949,)	
)	
Plaintiff(s),)	No. C 12-2516 CRB (PR)
)	
v.)	ORDER OF SERVICE
)	
CALIFORNIA DEPT' OF CORRECTIONS)	(Docket # 9, 12 & 14)
& REHABILITATION, et al.,)	
)	
Defendant(s).)	

Plaintiff, a prisoner at San Quentin State Prison (SQSP), has filed a pro se complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging continued harassment from correctional officers at SQSP since he filed an administrative appeal against a correctional officer on May 20, 2011 that resulted in the correctional officer being fired. Among other things, plaintiff alleges that correctional officers routinely contaminate his meals with toxic substances, tamper with his mail, and verbally abuse him and call him a "rat" and a "snitch." He further alleges that his attempts to obtain relief via the prison's administrative process have been ineffective. Plaintiff seeks appointment of counsel and a preliminary injunction transferring him to the custody of a local county jail.

DISCUSSION

A. Standard of Review

Federal courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which prisoners seek redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The court must identify cognizable claims or dismiss the complaint, or any portion of the complaint, if the complaint "is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted," or "seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief." Id. § 1915A(b). Pro se pleadings must be liberally construed, however. Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

B. Legal Claims

Harassment coupled with conduct implicating the Eighth Amendment's proscription against cruel and unusual punishment may present a claim cognizable under § 1983. See Hudson v. Palmer, 468 U.S. 517, 528-30 (1984) (malicious cell searches and calculated harassment unrelated to prison needs may implicate 8th Amendment's protection against cruel and unusual punishment); Franklin v. Oregon, 662 F.2d 1337, 1344 (9th Cir. 1981) (harassment with regards to medical problems cognizable if it constitutes deliberate indifference); see also Burton v. Livingston, 791 F.2d 97, 101 n.1 (8th Cir. 1986) (verbal harassment coupled with conduct infringing prisoner's right to security of his person may present cognizable claim). Liberally construed, plaintiff's allegations

1 of continued and pervasive serious harassment from correctional officers at SQSP
2 appear to state such a cognizable claim for injunctive relief under § 1983 and will
3 be ordered served on SQSP Warden Kevin P. Chappell. See Leer v. Murphy, 844
4 F.2d 628, 633 (9th Cir. 1988) (when seeking injunctive/declaratory relief,
5 plaintiff does not have to establish same narrow causal connection between
6 injuries and responsible defendant as when seeking damages).

7 C. Motions for Appointment of Counsel & Administrative Relief

8 Plaintiff's motions for appointment of counsel (docket # 9) is DENIED for
9 lack of exceptional circumstances. See Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017
10 (9th Cir. 1991); Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986).
11 The court will consider appointment of counsel on its own motion, and seek
12 volunteer counsel to agree to represent plaintiff pro bono, if it determines at a
13 later time in the proceedings that appointment of counsel is warranted.

14 Plaintiff's motion for administrative relief – waiver of duplication fees
15 and copies of exhibits (docket # 12) is DENIED as moot. The clerk recently sent
16 plaintiff a copy of his complaint and exhibits.

17 D. Motion for Preliminary Injunction

18 Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction (docket #14) is DENIED for
19 failure to satisfying the notice requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
20 65. Prior to granting a preliminary injunction, notice to the adverse party is
21 required. Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(a)(1). A motion for preliminary injunction therefore
22 cannot be decided until the parties to the action are served, and they have not yet
23 been served here. See Zepeda v. INS, 753 F.2d 719, 727 (9th Cir. 1983). A
24 temporary restraining order (TRO) may be granted without written or oral notice
25 to the adverse party or that party's attorney if: (1) it clearly appears from specific
26 facts shown by affidavit or by the verified complaint that immediate and
27

1 irreparable injury, loss or damage will result to the applicant before the adverse
2 party or the party's attorney can be heard in opposition, and (2) the applicant's
3 attorney (plaintiff himself in this case, as he proceeds pro se) certifies in writing
4 the efforts, if any, which have been made to give notice and the reasons
5 supporting the claim that notice should not be required. Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b).
6 Plaintiff has not satisfied both requirements.

7 CONCLUSION

8 For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown,

9 1. The clerk shall issue summons and the United States Marshal shall
10 serve, without prepayment of fees, copies of the complaint in this matter, all
11 attachments thereto, and copies of this order on SQSP Warden Kevin R.
12 Chappell. The clerk also shall serve a copy of this order on plaintiff.

13 2. In order to expedite the resolution of this case, the court orders as
14 follows:

15 a. No later than 90 days from the date of this order, defendants
16 shall serve and file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion.
17 A motion for summary judgment must be supported by adequate factual
18 documentation and must conform in all respects to Federal Rule of Civil
19 Procedure 56, and must include as exhibits all records and incident reports
20 stemming from the events at issue. A motion for summary judgment also must
21 be accompanied by a Rand notice so that plaintiff will have fair, timely and
22 adequate notice of what is required of him in order to oppose the motion. Woods
23 v. Carey, Nos. 09-15548 & 09-16113, slip op. 7871, 7874 (9th Cir. July 6, 2012)
24 (notice requirement set out in Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998),
25 must be served concurrently with motion for summary judgment). A motion to
26 dismiss for failure to exhaust available administrative remedies similarly must be
27
28

1 accompanied by a Wyatt notice. Id. (notice requirement set out in Wyatt v.
2 Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108 (9th Cir. 2003), must be served concurrently with motion
3 to dismiss for failure to exhaust available administrative remedies).

4 If defendants are of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by
5 summary judgment or other dispositive motion, they shall so inform the court
6 prior to the date their motion is due. All papers filed with the court shall be
7 served promptly on plaintiff.

8 b. Plaintiff must serve and file an opposition or statement of
9 non-opposition to the dispositive motion not more than 28 days after the motion
10 is served and filed.

11 c. Plaintiff is advised that a motion for summary judgment
12 under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your
13 case. Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for
14 summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there
15 is no genuine issue of material fact – that is, if there is no real dispute about any
16 fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary
17 judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case.
18 When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is
19 properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply
20 rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in
21 declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents,
22 as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradicts the facts shown in the defendant's
23 declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material
24 fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary
25 judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is
26 granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial. Rand v. Rowland,

1 154 F.3d 952, 962-63 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc) (App. A).

2 Plaintiff also is advised that a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust
 3 available administrative remedies under 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a) will, if granted,
 4 end your case, albeit without prejudice. You must "develop a record" and present
 5 it in your opposition in order to dispute any "factual record" presented by the
 6 defendants in their motion to dismiss. Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120
 7 n.14 (9th Cir. 2003).

8 (The Rand and Wyatt notices above do not excuse defendants' obligation
 9 to serve said notices again concurrently with motions to dismiss for failure to
 10 exhaust available administrative remedies and motions for summary judgment.
 11 Woods, slip op. at 7874.)

12 d. Defendants must serve and file a reply to an opposition not
 13 more than 14 days after the opposition is served and filed.

14 e. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the
 15 reply is due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the court so orders at a
 16 later date.

17 3. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of
 18 Civil Procedure. No further court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
 19 30(a)(2) or Local Rule 16 is required before the parties may conduct discovery.

20 4. All communications by plaintiff with the court must be served on
 21 defendants, or defendants' counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing
 22 a true copy of the document to defendants or defendants' counsel.

23 /

24 /


25 /

26 /

1 5. It is plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must
2 keep the court and all parties informed of any change of address and must comply
3 with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the
4 dismissal of this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

5 SO ORDERED.

6 DATED: July 30, 2012



CHARLES R. BREYER
United States District Judge